IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.23 OF 2007

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.8036 OF 2003

Bhalchandra Vasudeo Bhaskare & Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

Dr.K.H.Govindraj & Anr. ...Respondents

.....

Mr.Nitin Jamdar for Petitioners.

Mr.A.A.Kumbhakoni, Associate Adv.General with

Mr.C.R.Sonwane, A.G.P. for Respondents.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

FEBRUARY 20, 2007.

P.C.

1. Heard Counsel for the parties. Perused the pleadings.

2. In view of the explanation offered by the Collector on affidavit, being sufficient reason for time taken to decide the fresh representation, it

is not a case of wilful disobedience of the order or direction of the Court.

3. In the circumstances, no further action is warranted. In any case, the Collector has offered unconditional apology for the delay in deciding the representation although the representation was to be decided by the Commissioner. Accordingly, this Petition is disposed of. Notices issued to Respondents stand discharged. Reply affidavit be filed in the Registry.

4. It is made clear that it will be open to the Petitioner to challenge the correctness of the decision taken by the appropriate authority as may be advised and permissible by law.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.